



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ddress	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/418,323	10/14/1999	MATHIAS LARSSON	2466-41	8745	
23117	7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER		
	ANDERHYE, PC	NGUYEN, CHAU T			
1100 N GLEE 8TH FLOOR	BE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON	N, VA 22201-4714	2176	(6		
		DATE MAILED: 03/11/200	-		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/418,323	LARSSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chau Nguyen	2176				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine del patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS (a), cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	4) Claim(s) 15-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmer		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Response to Final, filed on 02/23/2004, has been entered. Claims 15-31 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please see the rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Percival et al. (Percival), Patent No. 5,991,816, and further in view of Keith et al. (Keith), Patent No. 5,966,465.

Art Unit: 2176

5. As to claim 15, Percival discloses a method of compressing an image at a server, storing a compressed representation of the image at the server and transmitting at least part of the compressed representation of the image from the server to at least one client, the method comprising:

transforming the image (col. 6, lines 10-26);

after said transforming, subdividing each block (col. 6, lines 53-64);

compressing, at least a first block and at least a second block into different independently decodable coding units, respectively (col. 6, line 44 – col. 7, line 29: a digitized image composed of image pixel blocks A, B, C, and D, and each of pixel block describes the color or intensity of the underlying image at comparable coordinates, and image pixel blocks A, B, C, and D are considered as independently decodable coding units; the transformation provides image data that is susceptible to additional compression techniques);

after said compressing, storing at least one of the first and second coding units on the server (col. 8, line 63 – col. 9, line 6);

receiving a request at said server (col. 9, lines 29-52: image transmitting server 12 awaits a request for an image as indicated at decision block 101 of Figure 2; and col. 10, lines 45-64: allowing a user to select a portion of the image which refers to image data relating to the image); and

responsive to the request, transmitting from the server to at least one client the coding unit(s) corresponding to the request so that upon receiving the request the

Art Unit: 2176

coding unit(s) corresponding to the request are transmitted to the at least one client without the server having to employ further entropy encoding with respect thereto;

However, Percival does not disclose transforming the image into a frequency domain to form frequency domain coefficients; subdividing the frequency domain coefficients corresponding to the image into at least one block; each block comprising at least one transformed coefficient; and compressing via entropy coding. In the same field of endeavor, Keith discloses image data 101 is received and transformed to produce a series of coefficients or different frequency subbands such as LL frequency subband, LH, HL, or HH subbands, which representing a multi-resolution decomposition of the image, and each frequency subband can be transformed or decomposed into subbands (blocks), and the coefficients generated as a result of the wavelet decomposition are entropy coded in an entropy coding 106 (col. 8, line 47 - col. 9, line 39, col. 16, line 11- col. 18, line 49. Since Keith discloses transforming an image data, which is similar to the system of Percival, thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Percival and Keith to include transforming the image into a frequency domain to form frequency domain coefficients; subdividing the frequency domain coefficients corresponding to the image into at least one block; each block comprising at least one transformed coefficient; and compressing via entropy coding. Keith suggests that transforming an image would provide good energy compaction and also provide more flexible multi-use image formats.

6. As to claim 16, Percival and Keith (Percival-Keith) disclose wherein the request describes at least one region of interest of the image, wherein the server identifies which of stored coding units contain information transformed coefficients needed to reconstruct said region of interest, and the server transmits the identified coding unit(s) needed to reconstruct the region of interest to the at least one client (Percival, col. 4, line 31 – col. 5, line 5, col. 9, lines 29-54; Sato, col. 4, line 41 – col. 5, line 5).

- 7. As to claim 17, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the request defines at least one coding unit, and the server transmits the at least one coding unit that is defined in the request to the at least on client (Percival, col. 10, line 65 col. 11, line 29).
- 8. As to claim 18, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the request contains information identifying region(s) of less interest of the image that the at least one client does not want to receive (Percival, col. 10, line 46 col. 11, line 3).
- 9. As to claim 19, Percival-Keith wherein the region of interest is defined by a mask in the transform domain (Percival, col. 9, lines 39-52).
- 10. As to claim 20, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the region(s) of less interest is defined by a mask in the transform domain (Percival, col. 10, line 65 col. 11, line 37).

Art Unit: 2176

11. As to claim 21, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the request comprises

information identifying at least one coding unit that the at least one client does not want

to receive (Percival, col. 10, line 46 - col. 11, line 29).

12. As to claim 22, Percival-Keith disclose wherein, in response to the request, the

server only transmits coding units that have not already been transmitted to the at least

one client (Percival, col. 2, lines 49-63: a user, prior to completion of the transmission of

the image data of the first field, may view the image and provide instructions defining a

second field, and these instructions may be received by the transmitting site causing it

to continue the ordered transmission of the image data, excluding data not in the

second field).

13. As to claim 23, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the request defines at least one

coding unit, and the server only transmits in response to the request coding units that

have not already been transmitted to the at least one client (Percival, col. 11, lines 42-

54).

14. As to claim 24, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the image is transformed into the

frequency domain using at least a wavelet transform (Keith, col. 7, lines 62-67 and col.

9, lines 5-40 and col. 16, lines 22-32).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/418,323 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

15. As to claim 25, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the blocks are arbitrarily shaped blocks (Keith, col. 16, line 23 – col. 17, line 56).

- 16. As to claim 26, Percival-Keith disclose wherein the image is quantized (Keith, col. 9, lines 5-16).
- 17. Claims 27-31 are corresponding to server and client apparatus containing similar limitations as discussed in claims 15-26; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4639. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached at (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned

are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (After Final Communications only)

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications)

(703) 746-7240 (for Official Status Inquiries, Draft Communications only)

Inquiries of a general nature relating to the general status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number

is (703) 305-3900.

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

Page 8